1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	- 1 14 000	
4	21 South Fru	1 - 1:34 p.m. it Street
5	Suite 10 Concord, NH	
6	[Prehearing conference also conducted via Webex]	
7		
8	RE:	DW 21-022
9		PENNICHUCK EAST UTILITY, INC.: Petition for Approval of 2021
10		Qualified Capital Project Annual Adjustment Charge.
11		(Prehearing conference)
12	PRESENT:	Chairwoman Dianne H. Martin, Presiding
13		Commissioner Daniel C. Goldner
14		Doreen Borden, Clerk Corrine Lemay, PUC Hybrid Hearing Host
15		
16	APPEARANCES:	
17		James J. Steinkrauss, Esq. (Rath Young & Pignatelli)
18		Reptg. New Hampshire Dept. of Energy: Lynn H. Fabrizio, Esq.
19		(Regulatory Support Division)
20		
21		
22		
23	Court Rep	orter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24		

1	
1	PROCEEDING
2	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We're here this
3	afternoon in Docket DW 21-022 for a prehearing
4	conference regarding the Pennichuck East Utility,
5	Incorporated, Petition for Approval of 2021
6	Qualified Capital Project Adjustment Charge.
7	My name is Dianne Martin. And I am the
8	Chairwoman of the Public Utilities Commission.
9	Commissioner Goldner, would you like to
10	introduce yourself.
11	COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Hi. Dan
12	Goldner, Commissioner.
13	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Let's
14	take appearances, starting with PEU.
15	MR. STEINKRAUSS: Good afternoon,
16	Chairwoman Martin and Commissioner Goldner. My
17	name is James Steinkrauss. I represent
18	Pennichuck East Utility, Inc., for the 2021
19	Qualified Capital Project Annual Adjustment
20	Charge.
21	I'm also joined today by Mr. Larry
22	Goodhue, Chief Executive Officer and Chief
23	Financial Officer; Mr. Donald Ware, Chief
24	Operating Officer; Mr. John Boisvert, Chief

1 Engineer. Mr. Ware and Mr. Boisvert both filed 2 written testimony in the Company's Petition. 3 Ms. Carol Ann Howe, Assistant Treasurer 4 and Director of Regulatory and Business Services; 5 Mr. George Torres, Corporate Controller and 6 Treasurer; and Mr. Jay Kerrigan, Senior Financial 7 Analyst, excuse me, are also attending, but will 8 not be participating. All of these individuals are employees 9 of Pennichuck Water Works, but hold the exact 10 11 same roles for all of its subsidiary 12 corporations, including Pennichuck East Utility, 13 Inc., and for the parent, Penn. Corp. itself. 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you, 15 Mr. Steinkrauss. 16 And, for the Department of Energy, Ms. 17 Fabrizio. 18 MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. And good 19 afternoon, Chairwoman Martin and Commissioner 20 Goldner. 21 I'm Lynn Fabrizio, representing the 2.2 Department of Energy in this proceeding. And 23 with me for today's prehearing conference is 24 Jayson Laflamme, Assistant Director of the

1 Department's Regulatory Water Division. And in 2 attendance are Anthony Leone and David Goyette, 3 analysts with the Regulatory Water Division as 4 well. 5 Thank you. 6 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. 7 And welcome in your new role. I will note that the Affidavit of 8 9 Publication verifying that the Supplemental Order 10 of Notice was posted on the Company's website on 11 June 30th, 2021 was received and posted on the Commission's website. And I note that because 12 13 this is a do-over, I believe, because of issues 14 last time. So, I want that on the record. 15 Do we have any other preliminary 16 matters before we hear initial positions? 17 MR. STEINKRAUSS: No. 18 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. 19 MS. FABRIZIO: The Department does not. 20 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Mr. 21 Steinkrauss, go ahead. 2.2 MR. STEINKRAUSS: Well, thank you. 23 So, we're here for, as I stated, the 24 2021 QCPAC Petition that asks for three things:

First, final approval of the QCPAC surcharge based upon the eligible capital projects for PEU that were completed, used and useful, by the end 4 of December 2020; preliminary approval of PEU's capital budget for 2021; and to provide 6 information to the Commission regarding the Company's forecasted capital budget expenses for 2022 and 2023.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9 The Company states that the capital 10 projects described in the Petition were eligible, 11 meaning they were (1) completed, used and useful, 12 by the end of the year, 2020, December 2020; they 13 were financed by debt previously authorized by 14 the Commission, and the projects associated with 15 the budgets were previously submitted and 16 preliminarily approved by the Commission.

17 The Company estimates the QCPAC 18 surcharge of 4.08 percent that, when added to the 19 rates sought in the rate case, Docket DW 20-156, 20 with an average monthly single-family bill, is 21 projected to be \$85.40, the projected rate of 22 4.08 percent will increase that approximately 23 \$3.49 per month, for a projected average monthly 24 bill of \$88.89.

1 The Company requests that the 4.08 2 percent surcharge be approved on a 3 service-rendered basis, back to July 31st, 2021, 4 or when the Company closes the proposed CoBank 5 loan that was submitted in the Petition for 6 Docket 21-102. 7 We also ask that the Commission find that the 2021 QCPAC surcharge is prudent, and 8 9 based upon the used and useful projects approved 10 in 2020. 11 And we further ask that the Commission 12 approves the current year budget as appropriate 13 and reasonable, and provide preliminary approval for the forecasted projected budgets for 2022 and 14 2023. 15 And the Petition also includes a FALOC 16 17 request. However, that's no longer necessary, 18 because Order 26,313 granted approval of the 19 capitalized net interest in the FALOC, the CoBank 20 FALOC, and incorporates that interest accrued in 21 the annual long-term financing for PEU, excuse 2.2 me, through its CoBank loan. 23 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Steinkrauss? 24 MR. STEINKRAUSS: Yes.

1 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: It looks like we've 2 lost the people who had joined you on video. Are 3 you comfortable proceeding? 4 MR. STEINKRAUSS: I'm comfortable 5 proceeding, yes. 6 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Go ahead. 7 MR. STEINKRAUSS: That's all I had, in 8 terms of my opening statement, Chairwoman. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. 9 Ms. Fabrizio. You're on mute. 10 11 MS. FABRIZIO: My apologies. Thank 12 you, Madam Chair. 13 Department Staff will be engaging in a close examination of Pennichuck East Utility's 14 15 analysis and support for its annual adjustment 16 charge for qualified capital projects undertaken 17 in 2020. 18 The Company bears the burden of proof 19 in justifying its proposed surcharge, as you 20 And, as you have heard this morning, that know. 21 surcharge, at approximately 4.08 percent, will 2.2 result in an average of about \$3.49 added to an 23 average monthly customer bill, for a projected 24 total average monthly bill of \$88.89.

1 Department Staff has drafted a proposed 2 procedural schedule for the remainder of this 3 docket proceeding. And we'll work with the 4 Company today to finalize a schedule that will 5 include opportunity for discovery and review of 6 the Company's books and records, possible 7 settlement discussions, if warranted, and a 8 Department recommendation in a timely manner. We look forward to working with the 9 10 Company with a just and reasonable resolution of 11 its Petition requests. 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Ms. 14 Fabrizio. And you raised a good point that I 15 need to address for the going forward. Which is 16 that, I'm sure that everyone on here knows that 17 the Public Utilities Commission has been 18 reorganized, and there's a Department of Energy. 19 And, so, for the time being, we're going to 20 continue with the procedural -- proposed 21 procedural schedules coming in just as they have 2.2 in the past, and then we will issue an order 23 related to those. 24 So, if there are any questions related

to that, I just wanted to give folks an 1 2 opportunity to ask them now? 3 [No verbal response.] 4 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing none, 5 then I'm going to ask Commissioner Goldner if he 6 has any questions? 7 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. I just 8 have a few, a few high-level questions. And I'm 9 a new commissioner, so I hope you'll bear with me 10 as I come up to speed on your particular case. 11 I notice that, in DW 17-183, there was 12 a bond offering, 32.5 million, that said it would 13 be used for capital expenditures 2017 through 14 2020. And I was wondering, will there be more 15 bond offerings coming or is this something that 16 has you taken care of for some years to come? 17 MR. GOODHUE: So, Commissioner Goldner, 18 this is Larry Goodhue, CFO and CEO of the 19 Corporation. 20 Correct me if I'm wrong, this is the 21 PEU QCPAC filing process. Do I have that 2.2 backwards? The 32 -- let me look at my calendar, 23 we had two hearings back-to-back. This is PEU. 24 That 32 and a half million dollar bond offering

{DW 21-022} [Prehearing conference] {07-14-21}

10

is PWW, the sister subsidiary to this Company. 1 2 PEU does not have the critical mass, 3 size, and ability to go to the bond market to 4 debt finance its capital. It must do so through 5 a commercial lender, and/or the State Revolving 6 Loan Fund or the Drinking Water and Groundwater 7 Trust Fund loan programs within the state. The commercial lender that PEU uses on 8 9 an annual basis, for both its fixed asset line of 10 credit to fund projects during a year and then reimburse finance them with return funding, is 11 12 CoBank. They're an entity that is organized 13 under the Farm Credit Bureau, and is the lender 14 that is able to facilitate debt issuances on a 15term loan basis for PEU going forward. 16 So, more of a complex answer. The 32 17 and a half million dollars, I would actually ask 18 that you can ask that question once again in the 19 next hearing, in an hour or so, and I'll be happy 20 to give you real good color relative to the steps and length and term of financings for PWW. 21 But 2.2 that does not apply to this corporation. 23 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Okay. Thank 24 That helps. That helps. you.

1 MR. GOODHUE: Yes. 2 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: The CoBank loan, 3 it's hard not to notice that it's at a much 4 higher interest rate than all of your other 5 facilities. The DES loan I think was at 2.7 percent. And you've got some really good, 6 7 low-interest loans across the Corporation. 8 MR. GOODHUE: Right. 9 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: And then you 10 have this CoBank loan that shows it at 5 percent. 11 And I'm just wondering, is that a loan 12 you could shop? Is there anything that can be 13 done do you think to reduce that rate? 14 MR. GOODHUE: So, and, you know, one of 15 things that's there is, after the City of Nashua 16 purchased the parent corporation, Pennichuck 17 Corporation, on January 25th, 2012, all of the 18 corporations within the consolidated group became 19 solely debt-funded entities. And, as such, the 20 ability to debt finance is not only I'm going to 21 say "inhibited" by the fact that our overall 2.2 recovery structure and profitability structure is 23 not geared towards excess profitability, and you 24 would need to meet certain debt covenants, as you

know, coverage on EBITDA, in order to go to 1 2 certain commercial lenders. 3 Post the acquisition by the City of 4 Nashua in 2012, I spent nearly two years trying 5 to find the debt sources to actually capital fund 6 all of the asset -- processes for any of the 7 companies within our group. And there's a very limited set of entities that would consider 8 lending to us under very strongly modified 9 10 covenant constraints. 11 You know, we actually have, you know, 12 CoBank, who is willing to, you know, live with a 13 one times EBITDA coverage ratio. Most lenders 14 are not going to go less than 1.25, they may want 15 1.5. And our current rate structures won't get 16 us there. So, we can't even get there to meet 17 covenants to even go to those lenders. 18 Also, with CoBank, it's a floating rate 19 of interest. And we do have some loans that, 20 they're going to be south of 5 percent, they may 21 be north of 4 percent as a rule. It all depends 2.2 on what happens with the market relative to that. 23 To the extent we can finance any 24 projects through the SRF Loan Program or the

1 Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund Loan 2 Program, we do those. We actually apply and 3 submit our application, our request for 4 consideration in those programs on an annual 5 basis for eligible qualified projects. 6 Unfortunately, it winds up being a limited set of 7 projects that actually get accepted in those 8 programs, but we take advantage of those every 9 time we can. 10 The balance of our financing for our

capital needs has to be with CoBank, because there is no other lender that we've been able to find that either will lend money to us or will lend it at actually that cost of money versus even a higher cost of money.

16 And, unfortunately, like I said, our 17 critical mass at PEU is so small, relative to 18 what a market acceptance would be on bond 19 issuances, that, if we were able to go to the 20 bond markets, if we were big enough, we could 21 probably get some rates that might be in the 3s, 2.2 or something like that, depending on the credit 23 rating.

24

But, you know, we only do maybe a

couple million dollars, maybe \$3 million, maybe 1 2 only a million dollars a year in capital. And 3 what we've been told by our investment bankers is 4 that, unless we can go to the market with at 5 least \$5 million a year, preferably closer to \$10 6 million a year, we can't even get the attention 7 on the tax-exempt bond market. And, for taxable 8 bonds, if you're not going to the market with at 9 least \$30 million a year, you can't even go there 10 and get their attention. 11 So, you know, we do have certain 12 limitations as to where we can go. The good news 13 is, we've got a very good, longstanding 14 relationship with CoBank. And the rates float 15 with the market. So, to the extent that we are 16 fortunate enough to strike when the market is 17 hot, so we can get better rates, but we are 18 subject to that. 19 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: And what does 20 that rate float against? You said it was "4 to 5 21 percent". Is it floating against prime rate? 2.2 Ten-year T bills? What does it float against? 23 MR. GOODHUE: Currently, it's floating 24 against LIBOR.

1 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Uh-huh. 2 MR. GOODHUE: But, I don't know if 3 you're aware of this, LIBOR is going to stop 4 being published later this year. And, so, all 5 the banks are right now looking, CoBank is 6 looking. TD Bank, who we use as our senior 7 lender relative to our working capital line of 8 credit at Penn. Corp., and it's actually the provider of our fixed asset line of credit for 9 10 PWW. They're all looking at other indices that 11 they're going to be able to tie that floating 12 rate to. 13 The intent, when I talk to all the 14 bankers, is they're trying to find a rate that 15 will, as closely as possible, replicate what 16 LIBOR would be. Because there's a cost of 17 business for them, too, in that, if they come 18 back to the market, now all of a sudden the 19 adjustable rate is 100 basis points higher than 20 it would have been under LIBOR. That doesn't 21 help their business at all. So, they're looking 2.2 at what that replacement rate is going to be. 23 They haven't specified what it is going to be 24 yet, but we know that they're in the process of

seeking it. Currently, it is the 30-day LIBOR 1 2 rate. 3 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Okay. And that 4 rate for you will float annually? Monthly? 5 MR. GOODHUE: Actually, when it comes 6 to CoBank, it floats on a daily basis. 7 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Daily. 8 MR. GOODHUE: So, what it comes down 9 to, as of the date we close, we can lock in. We 10 could go in, under a variable, and lock in at 11 some point in time afterwards. It's not our 12 intent to do so. When we go for term financing, 13 we want to know what the cost is, --COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. 14 15 MR. GOODHUE: -- lock it in, and just 16 And, so, it's locked in on the date of set it. 17 closing, Commissioner. 18 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Okay. Okay. 19 So, when you -- when you get that rate, which 20 today's is pegged to LIBOR, and you say it was 21 "floating", but really what you mean is it locks in on that date for the duration of the loan? 2.2 23 MR. GOODHUE: Right. 24 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Ten years,

thirty years, whatever the loan duration is? 1 2 MR. GOODHUE: That is correct. 3 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Okav. 4 MR. GOODHUE: And, you know, and one of 5 the things we've actually looked at in the past, 6 too, we actually have one loan with CoBank that 7 is actually swap-to-fixed. And it's because, at 8 that time, it was back in 2008 I believe that 9 loan was in placed, the floating rates were, you 10 know, really kind of fluid, and, you know, too 11 high. And, so, at that point in time, we looked 12 at purchasing a swap, we did a swap-to-fixed, to 13 bring in a fixed rate that was a reasonable rate for the term of that loan. 14 15 We have not done that since that 16 element for two reasons. Number one, we haven't 17 needed to, because the rates have been better 18 than they were at that point in time. And, 19 number two, the accounting for that, when you've 20 got mark-to-market adjustments that you have to 21 account for in that swap instrument, you know, in 2.2 your equity section of your balance sheet, makes 23 it a little bit more problematic relative to what 24 is the actual cost of that debt.

1 So, you know, we always look at that. 2 And, if there is a preferential financial, you 3 know, outcome that can be achieved, we would 4 consider a swap-to-fixed situation, if we needed 5 to, to get in that better fixed rate. 6 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Hmm. Okay. 7 Very good. And that's been very helpful. 8 Just a last question, I think you 9 already answered it, but do you -- I just want to 10 make sure you guys have maxed out the DES loan 11 opportunity? 12 MR. GOODHUE: We have. In fact, we 13 actually have a couple of more projects that 14 we're going to be bringing a couple of petitions to the folks on this screen here in the next 15 16 month or so with some more loans, that we've 17 actually been approved for two more SRF loans, 18 one at PEU and one at PWW, for projects coming in 19 to this next year. 20 Correct, John? 21 MR. BOISVERT: Larry, actually both of 2.2 those were for PWW. 23 MR. GOODHUE: They were both for PWW. 24 Okay, my notes are wrong.

1 MR. BOISVERT: Yes. Twin Ridge and 2 Sweet Hill. 3 MR. GOODHUE: Got it. You see, I write 4 things down for a reason, and then I don't read 5 what I write, and I get in trouble. But we do --6 we do put forth applications for any projects 7 that we possibly can. We don't always get 8 picked. Sometimes we're lower on the list. Sometimes we're lower on the list, and then later 9 10 on they come back to us, because the people above us that were offered a loan backed out, and, so, 11 12 all of a sudden now we're kind of sitting in the 13 standby mode, and then we will get selected. 14 But we do look at projects, to the 15 extent we possibly can, for that consideration. 16 And, if, possibly, Mr. Boisvert can unmute and 17 talk about the process we go through, relative to 18 applying for those loans, if that would helpful, 19 Commissioner? 20 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. I think it 21 would be. We can -- we can be brief, but I think 2.2 that would helpful to everyone. 23 MR. BOISVERT: Sure. Thank you, Larry. 24 The beauty of the SRF process and the

{DW 21-022} [Prehearing conference] {07-14-21}

20

1 Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund, is 2 it's a very brief and simple process. Each June 3 the DES reaches out to the water utilities and 4 requests potential projects that get funded under 5 the program. They go through a structured 6 ranking program. They score each project, based on a number of criteria, based, you know, on 7 8 water quality issues, you know, the rate impacts, 9 other things that they go through that they check off the list, and we get ranked. And they go 10 11 down the list, and say, if we have \$20 million 12 available for this year, they draw the line at 13 \$20 million. And those above the line get 14 funded, and under, those don't. 15 Once you're on that list for funding, 16 we complete an application, a more formal final 17 application, with more detail regarding the 18 project. And, at the same time, you know, with 19 respect to us, we start to file the petitions 20 with the Commission, as well as seeking approvals 21 with our sole shareholder, the City of Nashua, 22 and our Board of Directors, to pursue that 23 funding further. 24

It usually takes about -- roughly about

a year for that to work. So, if we were awarded, 1 2 you know, told we were awarded this year, it 3 would likely be for a project in next year. 4 COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Very good. 5 Thank you. 6 And my last question is maybe 7 rhetorical, I guess, I'm not expecting an answer 8 on this call, in this forum. But, again, as a new commissioner, I'm curious about your 9 10 structure, having these multiple entities. Some are too small to go to the market, some are the 11 12 right size to go to the market. 13 And I'm just wondering why it was 14 structured that way? And, if you have any 15 thoughts moving forward about restructuring, in 16 order to better take advantage of the debt 17 market? 18 So, I don't -- I don't know if you'd 19 like to take a -- take a hack at that today, or 20 if we could -- if you would like to save it for a 21 different time. But, just again, as a new 2.2 commissioner, I was curious about the structure. 23 MR. GOODHUE: I can speak to that, but 24 I also plead the Fifth, in that I don't want to

1 incriminate myself here. 2 But, and coincidentally, Mr. Ware and 3 myself were having this very conversation in the 4 hallway yesterday. So, you know, perhaps you've 5 got certain powers here to understand what we are 6 up to. 7 It's something that we always think about, you know. And, if you look at the 8 Corporation, it goes all the way back to 1852. 9 10 And the legacy is really that it was a water 11 supplier for Nashua and the immediately 12 surrounding areas. Back in the late '90s, a company called 13 14 "Consumers Water" basically was going to be 15 divesting of all of its assets, and certain of 16 those water systems were to be acquired by 17 others. And that's where a great deal of the 18 water systems that is in Pennichuck East Utility 19 came from, was from, you know, purchasing some of 20 those assets. 21 And then, we also have the Pittsfield 2.2 Aqueduct subsidiary, which is our smallest 23 subsidiary, up in just Pittsfield by itself. 24 We've looked at various times as to how

1 we might combine all of those together. But one 2 of the key considerations we have there is, what 3 is the rate differential between the 4 subsidiaries, and what would the degree of 5 subsidization be, based on current rates? And, 6 you know, we continue to monitor that. And the 7 closer that gets to parity would make something 8 like that a possibility. It does not exist right now. 9 I will 10 say that we're closer to that timeframe than we 11 would have been several years ago. And, to the 12 extent that that would or could occur, that would 13 be certainly something that we, as a corporation, 14 would pursue approval of, because there are many 15 benefits to doing that. 16 One of the benefits, you know, 17 Pittsfield Aqueduct, if we can't get a loan 18 through the SRF or the Drinking Water and 19 Groundwater Trust Fund, we don't have a lender. 20 Nobody will lend to them other than that. PEU is 21 encumbered, as we talked about. And, you know, 2.2 CoBank has been a great partner. But, boy, it 23 would be really nice to be able to bundle them in 24 with PWW and go out to the tax-exempt bond

1 markets, and get something that was even much 2 more preferrable, as far as a rate and a cost of 3 the money. 4 So, you know, and on an operational 5 level, some of the synergies that we could bring 6 to bear relative to, I mean, we operate as 7 efficiently as we possibly can, but, you know, 8 you're operating multiple companies. And, so, 9 there's multiple things that you're doing relative to that. 10 11 But, right now, it really comes down 12 to, and we're always focused on, making sure that 13 we're doing what we need to do, in the most 14 prudent manner possible, and the lowest cost 15 manner possible, you know, in spite of things 16 that we can or cannot control. And it really 17 comes down to a rate differential that is really 18 the impediment to us being able to do that at 19 this time. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Very good. 20 21 Thank you, sir. That's all I have, Chairwoman. 2.2 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank 23 you, as always, Mr. Goodhue, for all the 24 information. It's helpful for all of us.

Anything else we need to cover before the technical session? (Atty. Fabrizio indicating in the negative.) CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing nothing. We are adjourned for this one. And we'll see you back, I believe, at three o'clock for the next. (Whereupon the prehearing conference was adjourned at 1:58 p.m., and a technical session was held thereafter.)